



Interview Summary

Application No. 09/583,734

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Tadesse Hailu

2173

Avner Shafrir

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Tadesse Hailu (Examiner)	(3)
(2) Sean L. Ingram (Applicant Rep.)	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗓 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed: CHATTING IN STYLE	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. g was not reached. h \square N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Applicant discussed the cited art in view of claimed features and agreement was reached that the claims are	
distinguchable over the cited art. The Examiner will update the search.	
	·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE d of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required